IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

JUN 0 4 2004 DE

Attorney Docket No. 070639/0136

Tsuneyuki KIKUCHI

Title:

COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM, AND RECORDING MEDIUM

COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM, AND RECORDING MEDIUM

Application No.:

09/988,785

Filed:

November 20, 2001

JUN 0 8 2004

Examiner:

Unassigned

Technology Center 2100

RECEIVED

Art Unit:

2152

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT UNDER 37 CFR §1.56 and 37 CFR §1.97

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir:

Submitted herewith on Form PTO-SB/08 is a listing of documents known to Applicant in order to comply with Applicant's duty of disclosure pursuant to 37 CFR 1.56. A copy of each listed document is being submitted to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

The submission of any document herewith, which is not a statutory bar, is not intended as an admission that such document constitutes prior art against the claims of the present application or that such document is considered material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR §1.56(b). Applicant does not waive any rights to take any action which would be appropriate to antedate or otherwise remove as a competent reference any document which is determined to be a <u>prima facie</u> prior art reference against the claims of the present application.

TIMING OF THE DISCLOSURE

The instant Information Disclosure Statement is believed to be filed in accordance with 37 C.F.R. 1.97(b), prior to the mailing date of a first Office Action on the merits (first scenario). If that is not the case, such as in a second scenario in which a first Office Action on the merits has been mailed before the filing of the instant Information Disclosure Statement, then either a certification or fee is required, and a certification is provided below. If neither of the first or second scenarios is the case, such as if a final Office Action or a notice of allowance has been mailed by the PTO (third scenario), then both a certification and fee are required, and in that case a certification is provided below and also the PTO is authorized to obtain the necessary fee to have the instant IDS considered, from Foley & Lardner Deposit Account #19-0741.

CERTIFICATION

The undersigned hereby certifies in accordance with 37 C.F.R. §1.97(e)(1) that each item of information contained in this Information Disclosure Statement was first cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three (3) months prior to the filing of this Statement.

RELEVANCE OF EACH DOCUMENT

A translation of a portion of a Japanese Office Action that issued April 28, 2004 with respect to a counterpart Japanese patent application is provided below.

"The inventions related to Claims 1 through 43 of the present application could have been easily invented by a person with ordinary knowledge of technology in the field to which the invention belongs based on the inventions recited in the publications 1 through 6, which had been distributed in Japan or abroad prior to the application, and therefore cannot receive a patent according to the stipulations of Article 29, Paragraph 2 of the Japan Patent Law.

Note

(For a list of the cited literature, see the List of Cited Literature.)

Claims: 1 through 4, 13 through 15, 24, 25, 34 and 35 Cited Literature: 1

Cited Literature 1 describes an invention wherein a subscriber database (corresponding to the "recording means wherein cutoff condition information is recorded," in the present application) is provided at the new station side, and the telephone call is forcibly cut off when the telephone call limit time recorded in the subscriber database is reached.

The application to well-known client/server systems of the invention described in Cited Literature 1 is not seen as having any particular inventive step.

Claims: 5, 6, 16, 17, 26, 27, 36 and 37 Cited Literature: 1 and 2

Cutting off the call when a specific amount of time has elapsed without communications is no more than a well-known technology to those skilled in the art. (For example, see Cited Literature 2, Figure 1.)

Claims: 7, 18, 28 and 38 Cited Literature: 1 through 3

Performing control so that the number of simultaneous connections does not exceed a specific number is also a well-known technology to those skilled in the art. (For example, see Block 3, line 2 through Line 19 in Cited Literature 3.)

Claims: 8, 9, 19, 20, 29, 30, 39 and 40 Cited Literature: 1 through 4

Cutting off the call when the amount of traffic exceeds a specific level is a well-known technology to those skilled in the art. (For example, see Block 3, Line 48 through Block 4, Line 16, etc., in Cited Literature 4.)

Claims: 10, 21, 31 and 41 Cited Literature: 1 through 5

In client/server systems, the provision in the server of a table that indicates the correspondence between applications and timeout times is a common means to those skilled in the art. (For example, see paragraphs (0028) through (0040) in Cited Literature 5.)

Claims: 11, 12, 22, 23, 32, 33, 42 and 43 Cited Literature: 1 through 6

The provision of a priority order for cutting of telephone calls, and cutting off, with higher priority, calls with long communications claims, are both well-known means to those skilled in art. (See, for example, line 4 of the lower-left block of page 3 through line 18 of the lower-right block of Cited Literature 6.)

A new notification of reasons for rejection will be provided if further reasons for rejection are discovered.

List of Cited Literature

- 1. Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication H4-137990
- 2. Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication H2-114348
- 3. Japanese Examined Patent Application Publication H1-23985
- 4. Japanese Examined Patent Application Publication H7-14163
- 5. Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication H10-269159
- 6. Japanese Unexamined Patent Application Publication H1-157656"

Applicant's statements regarding the Japanese Office Action are based on a partial translation that Applicant's representative obtained. These statements should in no way be considered as an agreement by Applicant with, or an admission of, what is asserted in the Japanese Office Action.

Applicant respectfully request that the listed documents be considered by the Examiner and formally be made of record in the present application and that an initialed copy of Form PTO/SB/08 be returned in accordance with MPEP §609.

Respectfully submitted,

June 4, 2004

Phillip J. Ar

Registration No. 38,819

FOLEY & LARDNER LLP Washington Harbour 3000 K Street, N.W., Suite 500 Washington, D.C. 20007-5143

Telephone: (202) 672-5300 Facsimile: (202) 672-5399

MODIFIED PTO/SB/08 (08-00)

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control

number.

	Substitute for form	1449B	/PTO		Complete if Known	IPE
	INFORMATION DI	SCLO	SURE	Application Number	09/988,785	10, 20
	STATEMENT BY	APPLI	CANT	Filing Date	11/20/2001	/
	Date Submitted: J	uno 4	2004	First Named Inventor	Tsuneyuki KIKUCHI	JUN 0 4 2004 W
	Date Submitted. J	une 4,	2004	Group Art Unit	2152	Jun 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	(use as many sheets	as ne	cessary)	Examiner Name	Unassigned	(F. 10)
Sheet	1	of	1	Attorney Docket Number	070639-0136	TRADEMAR"

				U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS	}	· ·
Examiner Initials*	0.1	U.S. Patent Document			Date of Publication of	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant
	Cite No. ¹	Number	Kind Code ² (if known)	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Cited Document MM-DD-YYYY	Passages or Relevant Figures Appear

	FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS								
Examiner Initials*	Cite No. ¹	Fore Office ³	eign Patent D Number ⁴	Oocument Kind Code ⁵ (if known)	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Documents	Date of Publication of Cited Document MM-DD-YYYY	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	۳ ⁶	
	A1	JP	1-239	85		05/09/1989		NO	
	A2	JP	1-1576	656		06/20/1989		ABS	
	A3	JP	2-1143	348		04/26/1990		ABS	
	A4	JP	4-1379	990		05/12/1992		ABS	
	A5	JP	7-141	63		02/15/1995		NO	
	A6	JP	10-269	159		10/09/1998		ABS	

NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS						
Examiner Initials*	Cite No. ¹	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.) date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.				
		RECEIVED				
		JUN 0 8 2004				
		Technology Center 2100				

Examiner Signature	Date Considered	

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 2.0 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

^{*}EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

¹ Unique citation designation number. ²See attached Kinds of U.S. Patent Documents. ³Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). ⁴For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. ⁵Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST. 16 if possible. ⁶Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.